Formation of Chinese *shi-de* constructions: 'lateral' grammaticalization revised Keith Tse University of York

In Mandarin Chinese, there are two types of cleft (*shi-de*) constructions, namely sentence-final *de* (VOde), which is pan-dialectal, and verb-adjacent *de* (VdeO), which is restricted to northern dialects. Simpson and Wu (S&W) (2002:171-175) argue on comparative-historical assumptions that VdeO is derived from VOde via clitic repositioning of *de* (V de_i O t_i). Furthermore, as S&W (2002:186-190) argue that sentence-final *de* is a determiner and verb-adjacent *de* a past-tense marker, *de* is argued to undergo 'lateral' reanalysis from one functional category to another (D > T(past)) which involves 'structural simplification' (D_{Agree} > T_{Merge}) (Tse (2013), cf Roberts and Roussou (R&R (2003:209-213))). Recent historical analyses (Han (2012), Zhan (2012), Long and Xiao (2009, 2011), Long (2013)) have shown that VOde and VdeO are derived independently from two different types of relative clauses. In this paper, I propose to analyse the formation of *shi-de* constructions (VOde/VdeO) and revise S&W's hypothesis in light of new historical evidence.

Synchronic comparisons show that while VOde allows for narrow/broad focus and all tense, aspect and mood (TAM) values, VdeO only allows for narrow focus, necessarily denotes past tense and disallows other TAM markers, negators and quantificational dou (Lee (2005:175-186), Paul and Whitman (2008:430-431), Hole (2011:1710-1712)). It can be argued that sentence-final de is a sentence-final particle (C) selecting a clausal complement (TP) in which there may be TAM markers, negators and dou as well as A'-movement for specific constituents in the embedded clause or for the entire clause itself (cf Hole (2011:1724-1727), Meng (2014:107-115)), whereas verb-adjacent de is a past-tense marker (T(past)) suffixed onto the verb via V-to-v movement which pre-empts other TAM markers, negators (Neg) and dou and only permits A'-movement for specific constituents in the embedded clause (Paul and Whitman (2008:430-436)). The empirical differences between VOde and VdeO, therefore, lie in the scope of *de* (C/T(past)). As *de* is argued to originate from classical Chinese *zhe* and hence instantiate a nominalizer category (n) which becomes a determiner (D) when it begins to select nominal complements (Aldridge (2008:243-249), Yap et al (2010:64-72, 2011:62-72)), sentence-final *de* is reanalyzed from being a nominalizer (n) to sentence-final particle (C) whereas verbal suffix de from being a determiner (D) to pasttense marker (T(past)), which shows 'structural simplication' since D-n movement is lost in the former $(D_{Move} > C_{Merge})$ and XP-movement is replaced by head-to-head movement in the latter (D_{XP-Move} > v_{Move}) (cf R&R (2003:209-213)). Furthermore, *de* shows 'lateral' reanalysis from being nominal (D/n) to clausal (C/T(past)), which, interestingly, reveals an inverse structural correlation between the nominal and clausal domains, since lower nominal heads are reanalyzed as higher clausal heads ((n > C, D > T)).

References:

Aldridge, E. (2008): 'The Old Chinese determiner *zhe*', in Longobardi, G. and Crisma, P. (eds), *Historical Syntax and Linguistic Theory*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 233-249.

Han, Y. (2012): shi...de ju de lishi fazhan yanjiu (Research on the diachronic development of shi...de). MA dissertation, University of Jixi.

Hole, D. (2011): 'The deconstruction of Chinese *shi...de* clefts revisited'. *Lingua* 121:1707-1733.

Lee, H-C (2005): On Mandarin shi-de constructions. PhD dissertation, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan.

Long, H-P (2013): 'On the formation of Mandarin VdeO'. *Acta linguistica Hungarica* 60(4):409-456.

Long, H-P, and Xiao, X-P (2009): 'Yiranyi ''shi...de'' lei jushi de yufahua'. *Yuyan jiaoxue yu yanjiu* 2:23-30.

Long, H-P and Xiao, X-P (2011): 'Woshizuotian maidepiao jushijiqixiangguanwenti'. Shijie hanyu jiaoxue. 25:305-318.

Meng, E. (2014): *On Bare Shi clefts in Mandarin Chinese: a biclausal analysis.* MA dissertation, Zhongyuan daxue.

Paul, W. and Whitman, J. (2008): 'Shi...de focus clefts in Mandarin Chinese'. The Linguistic Review 25:413-451.

Roberts, I. and Roussou, A. (2003): *Syntactic change*. A *Minimalist approach to grammaticalization*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Simpson, A. and Wu, Z. (2002): 'From D to T – determiner incorporation and the creation of tense.' Journal of East Asian Linguistics 11:169-202.

Tse, K. (2013): 'What is 'lateral' grammaticalization?', in Languages at the University of Essex (LangUE), Proceedings for LangUE 2012, pp. 98-110.

http://www.essex.ac.uk/langling/documents/langue/langue_2012_proceedings.pdf

Yap, F., Choi, P-L and Cheung, K-S (2010): 'Delexicalizing *di:* how a Chinese noun evolves into a nominalizer, with attitude', in Linden, A. van, Verstraete, J-C, Davidse, K. (eds), *Formal Evidence in Grammaticalization Research,* Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins, pp. 63-91.

Yap, F. and Wang, J. (2011): 'From light noun to nominalizer and more : The grammaticalization of *zhe* and *suo* in Old and Middle Chinese', in Yap, F., Grunow-Harsta, K. and Wrona, J. (eds), *Nominalization in Asian Languages: Diachronic and typological perspectives*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company, pp. 61-108. Zhan, F-Q (2012): The structure and function of the Chinese copular construction. PhD dissertation, Stanford University.