

Sinitic adnominalisers GE vs DE: nominal microvariation in event structure:

Research has shown that despite similarities as adnominalisers in the nominal domain (Sio (2011)), GE in southern Chinese dialects (e.g. Cantonese 嘅) and DE in northern Chinese dialects (e.g. Mandarin 的) show microvariations as DE is permitted in the subject position of verbs denoting activity while GE is not (1a), even though both are allowed in object position (1b):

- 1a) 他 的 老師 當 得 好 佢 嘅 老師 做 得 好  
ta de laoshi dang de hao / \*kui ge lousi zo dak ho  
he DE teacher serve DE good he GE teacher do DAK good  
'He serves well as a teacher.' (Tang (2011:149-150))
- 1b) 他 當 他 的 老師 佢 做 佢 嘅 老師  
ta dang ta de laoshi / kui zo kui ge lousi  
he serve he DE teacher he serve he GE teacher  
'He does his job as a teacher.' (Tang (2011:151))

Formal analyses since Huang (2008) posit a light verb projection DO for activity verbs like Mandarin *dang* and Cantonese *zo* 'to serve as' and this selects a gerundival phrase (GP) headed by DE and GE respectively, and Tang (2008, 2009) argues that DE and GE display differential patterns of head movement in which the embedded verb in GP may adjoin to DE but not to GE, which suggests that DE is more grammaticalized than GE (Tang (2011:151)). However, Tang does not explain the subject/object asymmetries (1a-b) or the fact that alternative nominal elements such as classifiers can be used in subject position in southern dialects (2a) and certain dialects permit GE as long as it denotes skill and not result (2b):

- 2a) 佢 手 字 寫 得 好 靚  
kui sau zi se dak ho leng  
he CL character write DAK very beautiful  
'His writing of characters is very beautiful.' (Cantonese) (Tang (2009:245))
- 2b) 佢 個 籃球 打 得 蠻 好 佢 個 老師 當 得 好  
ku ge lankau da dak man ho / #ku ge losi dang dak ho  
he GE basketball play DAK quite good he GE teacher serve DAK good  
'He plays basketball quite well.' / (intended) 'he serves well as a teacher.' (Shaodong dialect) (Tang (2009:245))

This paper proposes that different nominal elements denote different types of nominalization in Sinitic, and while the GP in the complement of DO may be headed by general adnominalisers DE and GE in denoting events, the subject in the specifier of DO is subject to selectional restrictions (Hu (2016)) and should be non-delimited as activities are atelic (Tenny (1994)). The differential behaviour of DE and GE hence finds support from their historical origins as DE is generally analysed as a predicational linker which arises from nominal apposition in Medieval Chinese (Yap et al (2010), cf den Dikken (2006)) whereas GE is derived from classifier GE which has quantifying and individualizing force (Li and Bisang (2012)), which explains why DE and not GE may be used for marking event nominals in SpecDO.

References:

- Den Dikken, M. (2006): *Relators and Linkers. The Syntax of Predication, Predicate Inversion and Copulas*. Cambridge: MA: MIT Press.
- Hu, J-W. (2012): 'ta de laoshi dang de hao yu lunyuan de xuanze'. *Shijie Hanyu Jiaoxue* 30(4):435-455.
- Huang, C-T. (2008): 'Cong « ta de laoshi dang de hao » jiang qi'. *Yuyan Kexue* 3(7):225-24.
- Li, X-P. and Bisang, W. (2012): 'Classifiers in Sinitic languages: From individuation to definiteness-marking'. *Lingua* 122(4):335-355.
- Sio, J. (2011): 'The Cantonese ge3', in Yap, F-H, Grunow-Harsta, K. and Wrona, J. (eds), *Nominalization in Asian Languages: diachronic and typological perspectives*, John Benjamins, pp. 125-146.
- Tang, S-W. (2008): 'Xingyi cuopei' yu mingwuhua de canshu fenxi'. *Hanyu Xuebao* 24(4):72-79.

- Tang, S-W. (2009): 'Ta de laoshi dangde hao' ji hanyu fangyan de mingwuhua'. *Yuyan Kexue* 8(3):239-247.
- Tang, S-W. (2011): 'On gerundive nominalization in Mandarin and Cantonese', in Yap, F-H, Grunow-Harsta, K. and Wrona, J. (eds), *Nominalization in Asian Languages: diachronic and typological perspectives*, Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company, pp. 147-161.
- Tenny, C. (1994): *Aspectual Roles and the Syntax-Semantics Interface*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Yap, F-H., Choi, P-L. and Cheung, K-S. (2010): 'Delexicalising di: how a Chinese noun has evolved into an attitudinal nominalizer', in van Linden, A., Verstraete, J-C. and Davidse, K. (eds), *Formal Evidence in Grammaticalization Research*, Amsterdam: John Benjamins, pp. 63-91.