

Chinese *ba*: new Voice head and Voice alternations:

Chinese *ba* displays complex empirical differential object marking (DOM) properties which elude a straightforward formal analysis (Li (2006:376)), since it is widely observed that *ba* selects definite/specific objects which are thematically related to the second matrix verb (Zou (1995:59ff), Li (2006:413ff)) and the matrix verb must not be bare but display transitivity (1a)), complementation (1b)), aspect (1c)), adverbial modification (1d)), and multi-syllabicity (1e)), which conforms to its diachronic formation as a ‘disposal’ marker where *ba* is used in conjunction with transitive/dynamic verb phrases at a more advanced stage rather than a bare verb in its earliest attestations (Feng (2002b), Peyraube (1989)):

- 1a) *ta ba na-difang likai-le
He BA that-place leave-ASP
‘He left that place.’ (Li (2006:397))
- 1b) qing ni ba shu fang *(zai zhuozi-shang)
Please you BA book put at table-LOCALIZER
‘Please put the book *(on the table).’ (Li (2006:392))
- 1c) ta ba nei ge fangzi gai-*(le)
He BA that-CL house build-ASP
‘He built that house.’ (Liu (1997:63))
- 1d) ni neng bu nengba shu *(zhengzhengqiqi-de) fang
You can NEG can BA book tidy-ADV put
‘Can you put the books *(tidily)?’ (Feng (2002a:248))
- 1e) ba tamen *(bao)*(wei)
BA them surround
‘surround them’ (Feng (2002a:249))

There are numerous movement analyses (Li (2006), Yeo (2015)) which seek to account for the definiteness of the preverbal object via A’-movement to the internal topic/focus projections (e.g. *ba shu fang* (1b)), *ba nei-ge fangzi gai* (1c)), *ba shu... fang* (1d)), *ba tamen baowei* (1e)), cf Hsu (2008)) but fail to account for the complex verbal properties of the matrix verb (Li (2006:413-416)). In this paper, it is proposed that Chinese *ba* is a light verb (cf Huang (1997), Lin (2001)) which heads an Active Voice projection above the Passive Voice head which (re-)activises passive predicates, as supported by examples where *ba* precedes passive markers (e.g. *gei*):

- 2) yi ba huo jiu ba afang gong gei fei le
One CL fire then BA A-Fang palace PASS ruin LE
‘It took just one fire to ruin the palace of A. Fang.’ (Chappell and Shi (2016:465-466))

From this double voice representation (Active – Passive), DOM properties follow, since the complement of *ba* (e.g. *gei fei le* (2)) is not a bare verbal predicate (VP) but a passivized predicate (PassP) whose verbal complement (here *fei le*) must be transitive/dynamic so as to be passivisable, which leads to its aspectual/transitivity/complementation/multi-syllabic properties above (1a-e)). Moreover, the preverbal object of *ba* (e.g. *na defang* (1a)), *shu* (1b)), *nei ge fangzi* (1c)), *shu* (1d)), *tamen* (1e)), *aFang gong* (2)) is not preposed by A’-movement to Top/Foc but by A-movement in passivisation (Feng (2002b:147-151, 2012:129-136)), which in turn suggests that the *ba*-subject (e.g. *yi ba huo* (2)) is also similarly (re-)merged in the specifier of *ba* (Active) and coindexed with the internal subject below so as to avoid violation of Minimality in Spec-to-Spec movement (cf Rizzi (1990)). This formal analysis of *ba/bei*, therefore, suggests two highly similar and parallel Voice projections (Active/Passive) which seem to operate on external (re-)merge and coindexation of arguments due to structural constraints in little *v* rather than internal merge (A-movement) of arguments as seen in Western languages (Roberts (1986:17ff)).

References:

- Chappell, H. and Shi, D-X. (2016): ‘Major Non-Canonical Clause Types: *ba*, *bei* and ditransitives’, in Shi, D-X. and Huang, C-H. (eds), *A Reference Grammar of Chinese*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 451-483.
- Feng, S-L (2002a): ‘Prosodically Constrained Bare-Verb in *Ba* Constructions’, *Journal of Chinese Linguistics* 29:243-280.
- Feng, S-L (2002b): ‘Prosodic structure and the origin of *ba* Construction’, in Triskova, H. (ed), *Tone, Stress and Rhythm in Spoken Chinese*, *Journal of Chinese Linguistics* monograph 17:119-168.
- Feng, S-L. (2012): ‘Empty operator movement in Chinese passive syntax’, in Wang, L-J. (ed), *Shuli luoji zhi mei*, Beijing: Beijing Language and Culture university, pp. 117-137.
- Hsu, Y-Y. (2008): ‘The Sentence-Internal Topic and Focus in Chinese’. *Proceedings of the 20th North American Conference on Chinese Linguistics (NAACL-20)*, vol. 2, pp. 635-652.
- Huang, C-T. (1997): ‘Lexical structure and syntactic projection’, *Journal of Chinese Languages and Linguistics* 3:45-89.
- Li, A. (2006): ‘Chinese *Ba*’, in Everaert, M. and van Riemsdijk, H. (eds), *Blackwell Companion to Syntax: Volume I*, Blackwell, pp. 374-468.
- Liu, F-H. (1997): ‘An Aspectual Analysis of *ba*’, *Journal of East Asian Linguistics* 6:51-99.
- Lin, T-H. (2001): *Light Verb Syntax and the Theory of Phrase Structure*. PhD dissertation, UC Irvine.
- Peyraube, A. (1989): ‘zaoqi ‘ba’ ziju de jige wenti’ [Several issues regarding early *ba* sentences], *Yuwen Yanjiu* 1:1-9.
- Rizzi (1990): *Relativized Minimality*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Roberts, I. (1986): *The Representation of Implicit and Dethematized Subjects*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Yeo, N. (2015): ‘Maximality and the *ba*-construction’. MS, University of York.
- Zou, K. (1995): *The Syntax of the Chinese BA-constructions and Verb Compounds: a Morphosyntactic Analysis*. PhD dissertation, University of Southern California.